

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/680,513 | 10/07/2003 | Brad M. Baber | 1576-0082 | 5034 |
| 75 | 90 06/27/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Paul J. Maginot | | | MILLER, BENA B | |
| Maginot, Moore | | | | |
| Bank One Center/Tower | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3000 | | | 3725 | |
| Indianapolis, Il | N 46204-5115 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/27/200: | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | 10/680,513 | BABER, BRAD M. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Bena Miller | 3725 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thind eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | | |
| | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for alle | | ers, prosecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | · | · | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | ndrawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar | miner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | accepted or b)☐ objected to | by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | | • • | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th | e Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | , |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But | nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been | oplication No | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | list of the certified copies not | received. | |
| Attachment(s) | Ben | aMQ | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🗖 Interview S | ummary (PTO-413) | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/07/03&05/13/05</u>. | |)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/680,513

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanders (US Patent 4,445,811) in view of Zelik (US Patent 3,447,001).

Sanders teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention including a base (1), a vertical support (fig.1), a carriage having receptacle (fig.1), a nut (4), a first and second hand grip (5), a stop limit (4), an attachment member (4), a hand-power tool (3). However, Sanders fails to teach a retainer member having a second bore and a plurality of arms and the receptacle including a plurality of slots. Zelik teaches in the figures a support assembly having a base 87 and a retainer (29) including plurality of arms (135) and the receptacle (32) having a plurality of slots. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate arms to and slots in as taught by Zelik to the retainer and the receptacle, respectively, of Sanders for the purpose of preventing rattling during tool operation (col. 4 par. 2).

Claims 10-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in Zelik (US Patent 3,447,001) view of Sanders (US Patent 4,445,811).

Application/Control Number: 10/680,513

Art Unit: 3725

Zelik teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention including a base (87), a vertical support (fig.1), a nut (43), a first and second hand grip (98,96), receptacle (32) having a plurality of slots, a retainer (29) having a plurality of arms and a hand tool (11). However, Zelik fails to teach a carriage and stop limit. Sanders teaches in the figures a support assembly having a tool attached to a carriage and the assembly further has a stop limit (14). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a carriage and stop limit as taught by Sanders in the assembly of Zelik for the purpose of preventing rattling during tool operation (col. 4 par. 2).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 571.272.4427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/680,513

Art Unit: 3725

Page 4

Bena Miller

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

bbm June 17, 2005